

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
9 AT SEATTLE

10 ANGELO DENNINGS, et al.,

11 Plaintiffs,

12 v.

13 CLEARWIRE CORPORATION,

14 Defendant.


CASE NO. C10-1859JLR

ORDER

15 This matter comes before the court on Class Plaintiffs' motion to allow
16 depositions of objectors Gordon B. Morgan and Jeremy De La Garza. (Mot. (Dkt. # 78).)
17 Plaintiffs have demonstrated legitimate concerns regarding whether the objections made
18 by Mr. Morgan and Mr. De La Garza are serious and whether their attorney is a so-called
19 "professional objector." (*See id.* at 4-5.) Furthermore, Plaintiffs have stated that they
20 intend to conduct these depositions where the objectors live, thus causing the objectors
21 little inconvenience. (*See* Mot. at 78.) Accordingly, the court GRANTS the motion,
22 finding good cause and finding that these depositions would be within the permissible

1 scope of discovery. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(a)(1), 45, 26(b)(1); *In re Cathode Ray Tube*
2 *(CRT) Antitrust Litig.*, 281 F.R.D. 531, 532-33 (N.D. Cal. 2012).

3 Dated this 11th day of December, 2012.

4 
5 JAMES L. ROBART
6 United States District Judge
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22